

LOT 04 - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS (JUNE 2020 TEE)

Sr.	External Examiner ID (EEID)	Student Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions	Submission
1	EEMPCSV02	181782563	Kirtika Jasvinder Obhan	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	Study at present seems simple- add atleast one more variable that you will explore References to be in APA format	
2	EEMPCSV02	181785852	Donald Lawrence Dsouza	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	There is no standardized method to assess for academic performance. Especially if you take students across boards- SSC/ ICSE/ IGCSE According to your table, anything above a 45% is considered high, this seems a random benchmark How would you account for differences in the abilities of the students ? The smart phone addiction scale is fine, but you need another variable that isn't academic performance	
3	EEMPCSV02	182096953	Shobha Uttam Sansare	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	No reason to think there would be a difference between a child growing up in a family with parents who are blind versus those who are not , nothing in your review of literature is indicative of this difference Your independent and dependent variables are written the other way round While the variables of self-esteem, achievement and motivation are related, the sample picked lacks context, especially if you will include families in which just one parent is vision impaired	
4	EEMPCSV02	166851770	dharmesh k patel	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	The introduction has no information/ theories about the main variables - anxiety and depression The main IV in this study is the location- private vs government which isn't a psychological variable References are incomplete Operational definitions have to match the ones given in the tools to be used	

LOT 04 - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS (JUNE 2020 TEE)

Sr.	External Examiner ID (EEID)	Student Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions	Submission
5	EEMPCSV02	188433294	DIKSHA MEHTA	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	<p>The only issue though the biggest, is this definition of a social celebrity. Its too broad and vague, what if I follow someone with a minimalist lifestyle or someone who is a mental health advocate?</p> <p>How would you be able to identify how much of a person's Instagram use is dedicated to viewing this celebrity ?</p> <p>The tendency for social comparison and self-esteem are related, their connection to following a social celebrity is a very tenuous link . Rethink this particular variable and resubmit, the rest is fine</p>	
6	EEMPCSV02	170385630	Misba Lakdawala	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	<p>There will be a relationship between depression and anxiety in any adolescent, what is the context or need of this to be an adolescent from a deaddiction centre ?</p> <p>Having depression or anxiety will worsen the possibilities of addiction or the treatment outcomes but those are not aspects that are clear in the study described</p> <p>What definition of drug abuse/ addiction are you using?</p> <p>The studies stated in the ROL have limited relevance, the first two are about adults who are alcoholics and the link to self-esteem, yet your sample is adolescents and you are studying depression and anxiety</p> <p>The rest of the studies have limited relevance as well</p>	
7	EEMPCSV02	166852908	Saktima Das	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	<p>From the title, hypothesis stated and the statistics mentioned, its a correlational study , yet you mention independent and deperdent variables of age, secondary school teachers and education. No mention of these variables in the hypotheses</p>	

LOT 04 - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS (JUNE 2020 TEE)

Sr.	External Examiner ID (EEID)	Student Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions	Submission
8	EEMPCSV02	192022544	Akshata ashish shembekar	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	The title suggests the effect but the hypotheses stated reflect a correlational study Hypothesis 1 is incorrect The scales to be used are not attached No standardized way to measure parent-child relationship, aggression or emotional quotient No definition on clarity on measurement of parent-child relationship	
9	EEMPCSV02	168367977	Anum Ahmed	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	There is no IV , as the entire sample has problematic TV watching behaviour Please check if the scale has clear cut offs for you to decide if the TV watching is problematic or not The TV watching would be an IV, only if you compare the loneliness, anxiety etc between people who don;t have bingewatching tendencies and people who do binge watch	Fresh Submission
10	EEMPCSV02	176726849	Saniya Sayed	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	There will be significant correlations between the variables you have picked in any given population. If you are identifying those who are addicted to their smart phones as well run a comparison with the ones who aren't addicted	Fresh Submission
11	EEMPCSV02	181792328	Sudip Sahu	MAPC-MPCE36	Approved with modification	Your title gives the idea that your sample includes only people working from home, but from hypothesis 3 its clear you will need to compare those working from home and those working in an office (Make it clear in your title as well as objectives) Your statistics say usig correlations, but what would you establish a correlation between, the only variable being measured is work stress Please state your IVs and DV clearly	Fresh Submission

LOT 04 - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS (JUNE 2020 TEE)

Sr.	External Examiner ID (EEID)	Student Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions	Submission
12	EEMPCSV02	171291733	MANORAMA R. MISHRA	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	Objective of various kinds of anxiety not reflected in hypotheses Hypotheses framed incorrectly IV and DV not stated correctly No standardized questionnaire being used and the one that is being adapted to the Indian setting (orinibal by Abbolghasemi not attached) Lack of clarity in the instrument being created by the researcher	Fresh Submission
13	EEMPCSV02	188444992	Rajan Sharma	MAPC-MPCE36	Not Approved	State your independent and deoendent variables clearly Hypothesis framing incorrect How would you even check for the first hypothesis - psychological well-being is affected by the pandemic , thats a fact, unless you have data from pre pandemic time,s there is nothing that is being tested Proposal lacks clarity , what is the focus - The pandemic ? Working in different sections of an organization? Demographic variables and their effect on psychological well-being ? or role diiferences ? Streamline your focus please , and even if you want to look at all these variables, how are they related to each other Please be clear in the statistics to be used as well	Fresh Submission

LOT 04 - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS (JUNE 2020 TEE)

Sr.	External Examiner ID (EEID)	Student Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions	Submission
14	EEMPCSV02	166856690	Shruti K. Shah	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	<p>Very poor understanding of the concepts of independent variable , if playing sports is the IV, how is non- sport player another IV</p> <p>Poor understanding is reflected in the framing of the hypotheses as well- hypothesis 1 and 2 should be the same - no difference in stress and self-efficacy between the ones who play sports and the ones who don't</p> <p>Hypothesis for gender differneces should compare the DVs between sports playing and non-sports playing</p> <p>All the hypotheses framed are unclear</p> <p>Exclusion criteria says sports and non- sports player which is also incorrect</p> <p>The Sports participation Questionnaire is very vague</p> <p>How is one to find anyone who is playing sports in the current scenario?</p> <p>I would suggest the student study and understand the concepts before submitting the proposal</p>	Fresh Submission
15	EEMPCSV02	188434296	Pallavi Ramchandra Pashte	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	<p>There are way too many variables , please streamline</p> <p>How do you intend to assess the physiological variables ?</p> <p>Hypotheses have to be framed clearly with just the main Independent variable and dependent variable</p> <p>Your third hypothesis is a correlation between physiological measures and psychological measures how would you even achieve this</p> <p>Hypothesis 2 states favourite video game and results of the game, how would you even measure such hypotheses</p> <p>The title, objectives and hypotheses do not match</p> <p>Data analysis does not match hypotheses</p> <p>Overall lack of clarity</p>	Fresh Submission

LOT 04 - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS (JUNE 2020 TEE)

Sr.	External Examiner ID (EEID)	Student Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions	Submission
16	EEMPCSV02	175713438	komal ishver tiwari	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved	This is an entire project , not synopsis	Re-Submission
17	EEMPCSV02	192024530	Namrata Jain	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved	References not in APA format The note about why there is no IV/ DV won't be necessary Rest is fine	Fresh Submission
18	EEMPCSV02	176731120	Sukanya Mejari	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	Please check the format of a synopsis No review of literature stated Introduction is vague with no emphasis on the theories or even the variables Hypotheses stated don't match the research design No statistics mentioned	Fresh Submission
19	EEMPCSV02	188437009	KHAN SAIMA IRAM YUSUF	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	You have listed two independent variables , one is the violent/ non-violent media and the heavy viewers are the one who like to watch violent films. So, the identification of the heavy viewers will be through the affection scale. Won't the heavy viewers be the same as the ones watch violent media , it is unclear how it is two separate variables Please check the framing of all the hypotheses	Fresh Submission

LOT 04 - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS (JUNE 2020 TEE)

Sr.	External Examiner ID (EEID)	Student Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions	Submission
20	EEMPCSV02	178699439	Rizun Sharma	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	<p>The independent variable is not psychological in nature and are not complimentary , why compare women who work out and those who practice Yoga ?</p> <p>What is your reasoning to why women who practice yoga would be higher on hope, gratitude and resilience ?</p> <p>Your review of literature lack relevance</p> <p>The dependent variables and the scales picked are acceptable but the sample and the IV do not match whatsoever</p> <p>Even if you pick practicing of Yoga , it would be very difficult to build a sample practicing for the same amount of time/ number of years , its difficult to standardize</p> <p>Rethink the context in which you wish to study these variables</p>	Fresh Submission
21	EEMPCSV02	192014823	Jyoti Adhyapak	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	<p>The scales for the measurement of stress and aggression are fine</p> <p>I am not sure I understand your hypotheses - 2 and 3 , look at only the correlation between the two variables as per gender ?</p> <p>Wouldn't it make more sense to just conduct a t - test to see if gender plays a role in these variables ?</p> <p>Your data analysis does not clarify the statistical tests you plan to use per hypothesis</p> <p>I don't understand your fourth hypothesis at all</p> <p>Another question I have for you is the current scenario, would it be wise to measure academic stress ? Students have barely been to school at all and it seems unlikely to change in the near future</p> <p>Please clarify your hypotheses and statistics before you go ahead</p> <p>APA format required for references</p> <p>References incomplete</p>	Fresh Submission

LOT 04 - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS (JUNE 2020 TEE)

Sr.	External Examiner ID (EEID)	Student Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions	Submission
22	EEMPCSV02	192028932	Mannala Shobha Antony	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	Review of literature is very vague and insufficient Title does not make clear the objective of the study Objectives include marital status that are not reflected in the hypotheses Hypotheses are very unclear - it says no significant difference , but what are the two groups that are being compared ? How would you apply a t-test when only one sample is there The IV is the mental health of women ? The IV and DV mentioned are incorrect References not in APA format	Fresh Submission
23	EEMPCSV02	192009079	Manali Vinod Gundesha	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved	All fine	Fresh Submission
24	EEMPCSV02	185141960	Drashti Gala	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	Title is vague Objectives are too broad and beyond the scope of your study Hypothesis is incorrect, they do not match a correlational design Your operational definitions include mental health and positive attitude that are not even being measured , even then definitions given are not from a standardized test or reliable source The data given about your statistical analysis is unclear	Fresh Submission
25	EEMPCSV02	192008543	Nandini Pai	MAPC-MPCE36	Approved	All fine	Fresh Submission
26	EEMPCAT04	176719890	Alkesh Kubadia	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	submit new proposal with new topic	Fresh Submission
27	EEMPCAT04	185143670	Shivani Vaze	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	submit new proposal with new topic	Fresh Submission
28	EEMPCAT04	185243054	Surekha Nikam	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	submit new proposal with new topic	Fresh Submission
29	EEMPCAT04	188434920	Vijaya Jegatheesan	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	submit new proposal with new topic	Fresh Submission

LOT 04 - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS (JUNE 2020 TEE)

Sr.	External Examiner ID (EEID)	Student Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions	Submission
30	EEMPCSV02	148133848	Arun Mishra	MAPC-MPCE36	Not Approved	<p>All the studies in the review of literature point towards the kind of stressors women face in the workplace, not linked to spirituality or any other practice like yoga and its effects. LOR needs significant additions</p> <p>The hypotheses not seem to be framed correctly, each and every word in a hypothesis is important, re-do</p> <p>References not in APA format</p> <p>The DV and its tools look fine</p> <p>The IV on the other hand is vague - what do you mean by yoga practitioners? How many years should they be practicing? What kind? How often should they be practicing now? What if the people not practicing yoga have some other technique to relieve stress- dancing, cooking, arts etc</p> <p>Is it wise to measure job stress in the current scenario?</p> <p>Please pick a separate IV or make the current IV far more clear, establish inclusion criteria, too vague currently</p>	Fresh Submission
31	EEMPCSV02	166858163	Narendra Yadav	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	<p>Your operational definitions have to be based on the tools that you are using, so for self-esteem use the definition by Rosenberg, the one given by Deiner for life satisfaction</p> <p>Reframe your hypothesis - People having SD will score lower on self-esteem than those not having SD</p> <p>Everything else is fine</p>	Re-Submission

LOT 04 - SYNOPSIS EVALUATION STATUS (JUNE 2020 TEE)

Sr.	External Examiner ID (EEID)	Student Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Your overall comments / suggestions	Submission
32	EEMPCSV02	170389153	Pooja Mehta	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved	Don't you think its a correlational design ? And once again there is no IV and DV in a correlational study , both the variables being studied will be measured Operational definitions should be reflective of the scales	Re-Submission
33	EEMPCSV02	188434153	Nisarg Badani	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	Your title suggests that its a correlational study- there is no IV and DV in a correlational study , list them as variable 1 and 2 Please state who has given your operational definitions, they should be the same as the scales that you are using to measure those variables Your last two hypotheses are unnecessary , there are bound to be differences between higher secondary and senior secondary students just by virtue of age, any difference that you will obtain will come down to age as a factor	Re-Submission
34	EEMPCPT09	185126982	Manisha H Jadhao	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	Citations do not match References	Re-Submission
35	EEMPCHQ10	146908573	POONAM SHARMA	MAPC-MPCE36	Approved with modification	Title be changed to Organisational Climate and Job Satisfaction of Medical Representatives: A Correlational Study. Maintain uniformity in the terms used, use Job satisfaction not work satisfaction Suitable review related to the relationship between the variables be included. provide a statement of problem Your study is a correlational study dimension wise analysis be carried out Ethics be followed Mention sampling technique	Re-Submission